J-15-40-27M



## WAR DEPARTMENT AIR CORPS, MATERIEL DIVISION

Mertin B. 26A, A.C. No. 41-7947

PFB-da

Date

June 20, 1942

SUBJECT: Comparative Speed Tests

SECTION Flight Section

SERIAL No. PHO-M-19-1421-A

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED Contract No. ..... AUTH: AR380-6 U.I. 80-3 Purchase Order No.

BY: emm DATE: 12 Upril 1946

## A. Purpose

1. To report results of comparative speed tests on the B-26A airplane, A.C. No. 41-7347, conducted in order to determine the difference in speed due to the installation of a leading edge glove on the wing. Airplane equipped with two Prats and Whitney R-2800-5 engines with torque meters and two four-bladed constant speed Curtiss Electric propellers with cuffs. Gross weight as tested with the standard wing was 31,277 pounds with c.g. location, wheels down, at 17.3 percent m.a.c. Airplane was not weighed with the "glove" installed on the wing but was leaded with the same useful load consisting of three crew members and with the wing tanks and right bomb bay tank filled.

## B. Test Results

1. Speeds in level flight at 5000 feet with the landing gear up, wing flaps up, cowl flaps and oil cooler flaps closed and carbureter cold.

F.D.H.	Torque Meter b.h.p. per engine	True Speed with Standard Wing m.p.h.	frue Speed with Glove Wing m.p.h.
2400	1350 1150	285 268 246	<b>28</b> 5 268
2400 2300 2125 1900 1700	950 750 600	246 215 176	285 268 248 220 181

2. Stalls were made from level flight with the throttles closed, propellers set for 2300 r.p.m. and cowl flaps closed, at 8500 feet ofter using approximately 2400 pounds of fuel. Stalling speeds were the same with the standard wing and with the glove installed. Calibrated stalling speed, flaps and gear up, was 121.5 m.p.h.; flaps and gear down was 105 m.p.h.

CONFIDENTIAL

Archives of M. Williams

7-268-WF-6-27-41-66M sets of 2

Flight Section
MEMORANDUM REFORT NO. PHQ-M-19-1421-A
June 20, 1942

- 3. Pilots report that there is no noticeable difference in take-off, landing, stall, or flight characteristics of the airplane with the glove installed as compared to the airplane with the standard wing.
- 4. In view of the fact that torque meters were used in obtaining power data and that all speed tests were repeated and checked at least once, it is believed that these tests were conclusive and well within flight test experimental error and that there is nothing to be gained by further testing of this wing modification.

CLASSI. ILATION CANDELLED AUTH: AR380-5 0.3.80-3 BY: emm DATE: 12 april 1946

Prepared by PAUL F. BIKLE

Concurrence:

Approved by J. M. GILLESPIE, Colonel, AAF

Flight Section

Asproved by F. O. CARROLL, Colonel, AAF Chief, Exp. Engr. Section

Distribution:

Chief, Axp. Engr. Section (Att: Flight Research Projects) Chief, Prod. Engr. Section Chief, Aircraft Laboratory Chief, erodynamics Unit Chief, Propeller Laboratory Chief, Aircraft Projects, E.E.S. Chief, Power Plant Laboratory Contral Files

CONFIDENT